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Abstract

Objectives: To investigate the extent to which pharmacoepidemiologic groupings are homogeneous in terms of clinical properties. Methods: In our analysis, we classified drug subgroups from the pharmacoepidemiologic Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification system based on clinical drug properties. We established mappings from ATC fifth level drug entities to drug property annotations in the National Drug File Reference Terminology (NDF-RT), including therapeutic categories, mechanisms of action, and physiologic effects. Based on the annotations for the individual drugs we computed homogeneity scores for all ATC groups and analyzed their distribution. Conclusions: We found ATC groups to be generally homogeneous, more so for mechanisms of action, and physiologic effects than for therapeutic intent. However, only half of all ATC drugs can be analyzed with this approach, in part because of missing properties in NDF-RT.
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Introduction

The Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification system is a drug classification system developed by the World Health Organization (WHO) Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology in Norway. ATC groups drugs “according to the organ or system on which they act and their therapeutic, pharmacological and chemical properties.” [1].

Traditional uses of ATC have included pharmacoepidemiologic studies. For example, ATC has supported the analysis of prescription drug costs [2] and use of drugs in various populations [3-6]. More recently, ATC groups have been related to various drug properties, including chemical similarity [7], drug-drug interactions [8], and adverse events in the EU-ADR project [9]. While ATC groupings have been shown to be adequate for pharmacoepidemiologic studies, it remains to be seen if they also support clinical applications, such as clinical decision support (CDS). For example, drug-drug interactions are often asserted between one drug and one drug group (e.g., between lithium and ACE inhibitors). Such CDS rules assume homogeneity of the drug groups, i.e., that the drugs from these groups have the same characteristics (e.g., therapeutic use, mechanisms of action and physiologic effects). Here the ATC group ACE inhibitors is homogeneous, because all its drugs (e.g., captopril, enalapril, lisinopril) share the same mechanism of action, namely ‘Angiotensin-converting Enzyme Inhibitors’. However, ATC does not necessarily guarantee such homogeneity. In fact the ATC documentation specifically states that “Substances classified in the same ATC fourth level cannot be considered pharmacotherapeutically equivalent since their mode of action, therapeutic effect, drug interactions and adverse drug reaction profile may differ.”

The objective of this investigation is to explore ATC groupings from a clinical perspective. More specifically, we investigate the degree to which the ATC groupings are homogeneous with respect to properties such as therapeutic intent, mechanisms of action and physiologic effects. In practice, we use drug properties asserted in the National Drug File-Reference Terminology (NDF-RT) to explore the homogeneity of the ATC groupings. While NDF-RT has been explored from various perspectives [10, 11], to our knowledge, this study is the first one to relate ATC groupings to drug properties in NDF-RT.

Materials

Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification

As shown in Table 1, the ATC classification is organized into five levels. Ingredients are found at the fifth level, whereas levels one to four correspond to groupings. For the purpose of this study, we focus on the second and fourth levels. The second level roughly corresponds to therapeutic intent, while we expect the fourth level to reflect mechanisms of action and physiologic effects.

ATC comprises 90 subgroups at the second level, 780 subgroups at the fourth level, and 4464 drugs (fifth level). Not all the drugs in ATC are available on the U.S. market and the mapping of ATC drugs to U.S. drug resources is thus expected to be incomplete.

In this study, we use the 2012 version of ATC.

Table 1 – ATC hierarchy with examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Cardiovascular system</td>
<td>1 - anatomical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C01</td>
<td>Cardiac therapy</td>
<td>2 - therapeutic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C01A</td>
<td>Cardiac glycosides</td>
<td>3 - pharmacological</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C01AA</td>
<td>Digitalis glycosides</td>
<td>4 - pharmacological</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C01AA05</td>
<td>Digoxin</td>
<td>5 - drug</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

National Drug File-Reference Terminology (NDF-RT)

The National Drug File Reference Terminology (NDF-RT) is a resource developed by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Veterans Health Administration, as an extension of the VA National Drug File [12]. The version used in this study is dated November 5, 2012. This version covers 7162 active moieties (level = ingredient). We used the NDF-RT API [13]...
for mapping drug names to NDF-RT, as well as for querying
drug properties.

NDF-RT is organized into several hierarchies. Across
hierarchies, entities are related by various kinds of relations.
For example, ingredients from the drug hierarchy are linked to
entities from the mechanisms of action hierarchy by the
relationship has_MoA (e.g., ATORVASTATIN has_MoA
Hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA Reductase Inhibitors), to entities
from the physiologic effect hierarchy by the relationship
has_PE (e.g., ATORVASTATIN has_PE Decreased Cholesterol
Synthesis). Therapeutic intent is expressed through relations
between ingredients and entities from the disease hierarchy,
including may_treat (ATORVASTATIN may_treat
Hypercholesterolemia) and may_prevent (ATORVASTATIN may_
prevent Coronary Artery Disease).

Methods

We designed methods for characterizing drug groups by clini-
cal properties derived from the National Drug File Reference
Terminology (NDF-RT). First, we mapped ATC drugs to
NDF-RT drug concepts. Then we acquired the clinical proper-
ties from associations with the drug concepts present in NDF-
RT. Based on the clinical properties of individual drugs, we
computed homogeneity scores for each ATC second and
fourth level subgroup. Finally, we studied the distribution of
homogeneity scores for all ATC groups at a given level (“pro-
file”) and compared ATC profiles to a clinical reference.

Mapping ATC drugs to NDF-RT clinical properties

Exclusions

Most of the ATC drug entities correspond to ingredients in
NDF-RT, e.g., Digoxin, C01AA05 in ATC and N0000146388
in NDF-RT. However, some of the drugs in ATC have unspe-
cific, collective terms (such as thyroid gland preparations) and
others are out of scope for NDF-RT, such as radiopharma-
ceuticals. In the following we list the main categories of ATC
drugs we considered out of scope, with examples:
Multi-ingredient drugs (labels containing “and”, “other”, “combinations”)
Isotopes, especially group V. Radiopharmaceuticals (e.g., iobenguane (131I))
Unspecific, collective terms (e.g., barium sulfate without suspending agents)

On the other hand, in order to improve recall, we ignored extraneous information from the original ATC drug names, in the form of parenthetical expressions and appositions. For example, we used nicotinyl alcohol to map nicotinyl alcohol (pyridylcarbinol) to NDF-RT. Typical examples are:

- Terms with synonyms in parentheses (nicotinyl alcohol (pyridylcarbinol))
- Overspecified terms (immunoglobulins, normal human, for extravascular adm.)

In total, we excluded 900 multi-ingredient drugs, drug combinations, and drugs out of scope from our mapping to NDF-RT.

**Direct Mapping to NDF-RT**
We used the NDF-RT API [13] to map the names of the ATC drugs (fifth level) to drug concepts at the ingredient level in NDF-RT (restricted to NDF-RT entities of kind DRUG_KIND and level Ingredient).

**Indirect mapping via RxNorm**
If an ATC drug name failed to be associated with clinical properties in NDF-RT, we assumed that the clinical properties were possibly associated with a related ingredient (i.e., non-salt ingredient vs. salt ingredient, e.g., fluocinolone vs. fluocinolone acetinate). In order to find such related ingredients, we used the RxNorm API [14] to map those drugs to their corresponding salt and non-salt ingredients, for which we then queried the clinical properties in NDF-RT as described above.

**Acquiring clinical properties for drugs**
For each drug, we extracted the list of clinical properties in the following three categories: 1) therapeutic intent (roles: may_treat and may_prevent), 2) mechanism of action (role: has_MoA) and 3) physiologic effect (role: has_PE). We ignored unspecific annotations containing the term unknown. In practice, we extracted the clinical properties for a given drug concept using the NDF-RT API method getRelatedConceptsByUrl. Below is the list of clinical properties for the drug cetirizine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>may_treat</td>
<td>Rhinitis, Allergic, Perennial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>may_treat</td>
<td>Urticaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has_MoA</td>
<td>Histamine H1 Receptor Antagonists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has_PE</td>
<td>Decreased Histamine Activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Computing homogeneity scores**
In order to assess the homogeneity of subgroups with respect to clinical properties, we counted how many distinct properties (or sets of properties) are necessary to account for at least 90% of the drugs in a given subgroup. For example, the fourth level subgroup R01AD Corticosteroids contains 10 drugs for which we could find mechanism of action properties in NDF-RT. These 10 drugs have the same mechanism of action: Glucocorticoid Receptor Agons. Therefore, one single property accounts for 100% of the drugs in the subgroup and the homogeneity score for this subgroup is 1, which denotes the maximal homogeneity. In contrast, the fourth level subgroup V03AB Antidotes contains 12 drugs with annotations for mechanisms of action. The homogeneity score for this subgroup is 8, as eight distinct properties are needed to describe 90% of the drugs in the subgroup. The properties (or sets of properties) are as follows: Siderophore Iron Chelating Activity, Cholinesterase Inhibitors, GABA B Antagonists, Free Radical Scavenging Activity, Alcohol Dehydrogenase Inhibitors, [Noncompetitive Opioid Antagonists, Competitive Opioid Antagonists], {Adrenergic alpha1-Antagonists, Adrenergic alpha2-Antagonists}, and Cholinesterase Reactivators.

Because the homogeneity score would be 1 mechanically for all groups containing only one drug, we only considered ATC drug groups that contain more than one drug.

We compiled the homogeneity scores for second level groups in ATC (with respect to therapeutic intent), and for fourth level subgroups (with respect to mechanism of action and physiologic effect, respectively).

We then created a profile of ATC groups corresponding to the distribution of homogeneity scores for all groups at a given level for the corresponding clinical property. In practice, we have three such profiles: for the second-level groups with the therapeutic intent property, and for the fourth-level groups with the mechanism of action and physiologic effect, respectively.

**Comparison to the clinical reference Micromedex**
We applied the same methods for concept mapping and computation of homogeneity scores to data we extracted from the clinical reference Micromedex. The Micromedex classes were extracted from a drug-drug interaction system for cases where the interaction was stated at the class level, but for which the list of drugs in a class was provided. Based on the assumption that a clinical reference should classify drugs more homogeneously with respect to clinical properties, we compared the distributions of homogeneity scores for all drug groups in ATC and classes in Micromedex.

**Results**

**Mapping ATC drugs to NDF-RT clinical properties**
Of the 4,464 drugs in ATC, 3,564 are single ingredient drugs excluding radiopharmaceuticals (see Table 5, column Single ingredient drugs within scope), of which we were able to map 2,111 (59%) to NDF-RT concepts (second column from the right). However, only 1,701 (48%) ATC drugs were mapped to NDF-RT ingredients associated with at least one clinical property (may_treat, may_prevent, has_MoA, or has_PE). As a consequence, on average, the homogeneity score for drug groups is based on less than half of their original single ingredient member drugs.
Table 3 - Homogeneous groups in ATC (selected)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th># Drugs</th>
<th>Homogeneity score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J01</td>
<td>ANTIBACTERIALS FOR SYSTEMIC USE</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D07</td>
<td>CORTICOSTEROIDS, DERMATOLOGICAL PREPARATIONS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10</td>
<td>DRUGS USED IN DIABETES</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 - Heterogeneous groups in ATC (selected)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th># Drugs</th>
<th>Homogeneity score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L01</td>
<td>ANTINEOPLASTIC AGENTS</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B05</td>
<td>BLOOD SUBSTITUTES AND PERFUSION SOLUTIONS</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D03</td>
<td>PREPARATIONS FOR TREATMENT OF WOUNDS AND ULCERS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 - Mapping performance by ATC anatomical group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Drugs in ATC</th>
<th>Single ingredient drugs within scope</th>
<th>Mapped to NDF-RT drug concepts</th>
<th>Mapped to NDF-RT drug concepts with annotations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Alimentary tract and metabolism</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Blood and blood forming organs</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Cardiovascular system</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Dermatologicals</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Genito-urinary system and sex hormones</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Systemic hormonal prepara- tions, excluding sex hormones and insulins</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Antifungicides</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Antineoplastic and immuno- modulating agents</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Musculoskeletal system</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Nervous system</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Antiparasitic products, insec- ticides and repellents</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Respiratory system</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sensory organs</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>4464</td>
<td>3564</td>
<td>2111</td>
<td>1701</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion and conclusions

Findings and significance

Because of the warning ATC provides in its documentation about the possible heterogeneity in mechanisms of action and
physiologic effects among drugs in fourth-level groups, we were surprised to find that most groups are actually fairly homogeneous at this level, for both mechanisms of action and physiologic effects. Moreover, ATC groups are generally not more heterogeneous for mechanisms of action and physiologic effects overall than the MMX classes used as our clinical reference, although ATC groups at the second level are slightly more heterogeneous for therapeutic intent properties. Finally, our hypothesis that residual classes in ATC would be more heterogeneous than other classes was not verified.

Overall, our findings that ATC classes are generally homogeneous are consistent with the recent adoption of ATC by some researchers for uses outside the realm of pharmacoepidemiology. However, the exact place of ATC in clinical applications remains to be determined.

Limitations

The main limitation of this study is that, as noted earlier, only about half of the ATC drugs can be associated with drug properties in NDF-RT. The two major reasons are that 1) some ATC drugs cannot be mapped to NDF-RT, because they are out of scope or not marketed in the U.S.; and 2) many drugs are not associated with therapeutic intent, mechanism of action or physiologic effect properties in NDF-RT. The incompleteness of NDF-RT in terms of drug properties is the stronger of the two factors, because the exclusion of radiopharmaceuticals and non-marketed drugs is not expected to have any significant impact on clinical applications. In our comparison of distributions, we did not use any statistical methodology for testing for differences between the distributions. This was on purpose in the context of this exploratory study. Any difference would have been difficult to interpret anyway in the context of an incomplete dataset, as discussed above.

In future work, we plan to explore alternative drug information sources against which ATC could be evaluated. One difficulty, however, is that very few publicly available drug information sources contain reliable clinical information (e.g., DrugBank), most of these information sources being commercial products (e.g., First Databank).
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